

JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

**CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

MICHAEL MCMAHON

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)

Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire  
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.  
30 E. Butler Pike  
Ambler, PA 19002  
(215) 540-8888

**DEFENDANTS**

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE  
LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known) \_\_\_\_\_

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION**

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant  
☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)  
☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES**

(For Diversity Cases Only)

(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- |   |                                |                                |   |                                |                                |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State                   | PTF <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State     | PTF <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | DEF <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State                | <input type="checkbox"/> 2     | <input type="checkbox"/> 2     | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5     | <input type="checkbox"/> 5     |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3     | <input type="checkbox"/> 3     | Foreign Nation  | <input type="checkbox"/> 6     | <input type="checkbox"/> 6     |

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT**

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury  <b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  <b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other  <b>LABOR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  <b>IMMIGRATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark  <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))  <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition				

**V. ORIGIN**

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding  
☐ 2 Removed from State Court  
☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court  
☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened  
☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation  
☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

15 U.S.C. Section 1692

Brief description of cause:

Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**
☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$ \_\_\_\_\_

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S)**

(See instructions):

JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

Explanation:

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 39 Harrison Ave, Apt 17, Montclair, NJ 07042  
 Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044  
 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 39 Harrison Ave, Apt 17, Montclair, NJ 07042  
 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?

(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))

Yes ☐ No ☒

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?

Yes ☐ No ☒

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Date Terminated: \_\_\_\_\_

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  
Yes ☐ No ☒
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  
Yes ☐ No ☒

CIVIL: (Place ☒ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)

A. Federal Question Cases:

1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
2. ☐ FELA
3. ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury
4. ☐ Antitrust
5. ☐ Patent
6. ☐ Labor-Management Relations
7. ☐ Civil Rights
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases
10. ☐ Social Security Review Cases
11. ☒ All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C § 1692  
(Please specify)

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury
3. ☐ Assault, Defamation
4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury
5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. ☐ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. ☐ Products Liability
8. ☐ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. ☐ All other Diversity Cases  
(Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check Appropriate Category)

I, Craig Thor Kimmel, counsel of record do hereby certify:  
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 4/2/2010 Craig Thor Kimmel 57100  
 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 4/2/2010 Craig Thor Kimmel 57100  
 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

Michael McMahon

CIVIL ACTION

v.

NCO Financial Systems, Inc.

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

**SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:**

- (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ( )
- (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ( )
- (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( )
- (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ( )
- (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ( )
- (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. ☒

<u>4/2/2010</u> <b>Date</b>	<u>Craig Thor Kimmel</u> <b>Attorney-at-law</b>	<u>Michael McMahon</u> <b>Attorney for</b>
<u>(215) 540-8888</u> <b>Telephone</b>	<u>(215) 540-8817</u> <b>FAX Number</b>	<u>Kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> <b>E-Mail Address</b>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

MICHAEL J. MCMAHON,

Plaintiff

v.

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant

Civil Action No.:

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR  
JURY TRIAL**

**(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)**

**COMPLAINT**

MICHAEL J. MCMAHON ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

3. Defendant conducts business in the State of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

## PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Montclair, New Jersey.

7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.

9. Defendant is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.

10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute that prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative

1 provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by  
2 debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive  
3 tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a  
4 specific list of disapproved practices.

5 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices  
6 considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking  
7 such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions.  
8 First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of  
9 which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection  
10 of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false,  
11 deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection  
12 of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair  
13 or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. §  
14 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous  
15 collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or  
16 unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses  
17 any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with  
18 the collection of a debt.

19 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that  
20 "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt  
21 collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of  
22 personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of  
23 individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing  
24 laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to  
25 protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.



14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to “eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was allegedly hired to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff.

16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

17. Beginning in February 2010, Defendant began constantly and continuously contacting Plaintiff to collect the alleged debt.

18. Defendant contacted Plaintiff on his cellular telephone.

19. Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, once a day, causing Plaintiff to receive upwards of ten (10) collection calls a week on his cellular telephone.

20. Also, Defendant left voice mail messages on Plaintiff's cellular telephone demanding that Plaintiff contact Defendant.

21. Most recently, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on February 25, 2010.

22. Within five (5) days of its initial contact with Plaintiff, Defendant did not send written notification to Plaintiff informing him of his right to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt, as required by § 1692g(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).

1       23. As a result, Plaintiff was unsure how to go about requesting  
2 verification of the alleged debt.

3       24. The only information Defendant would provide Plaintiff that the  
4 alleged debt was a \$480.00 bill for ambulance services from January 18, 2008.

5       25. Plaintiff asked for a copy of the bill so that he could submit it to his  
6 insurance company.

7       26. Defendant refused stating that it would “start sending [him] collection  
8 letters.”

9       27. The repetitive calls to Plaintiff were disturbing, harassing, an  
10 invasion of privacy and made Plaintiff feel wary about answering the telephone for  
11 any number he did not recognize.

### 12 13 CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

14  
15       28. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry,  
16 deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). “Because the Act imposes  
17 strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector  
18 to be entitled to damages.” Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996);  
19 see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding  
20 unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector’s legal status violated FDCPA);  
21 Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

22       29. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed  
23 liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235  
24 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts  
25 interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d  
1162 (9th Cir. 2006). “Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA)



1 15 U.S.C §1601 *et seq.*, is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in  
 2 favor of the consumer.” Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

3 30. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the “least  
 4 sophisticated” consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168  
 5 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991); Swanson v.  
 6 Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA  
 7 was not “made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude  
 8 which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a  
 9 false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced  
 10 does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less  
 11 experienced.” Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual  
 12 purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting,  
 13 against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for  
 14 bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at  
 15 1318.

16  
 17 **COUNT I**  
 18 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES**  
 19 **ACT**

20 31. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the  
 21 FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

- 22 a. Harassing, oppressing or abusing Plaintiff in connection with  
 23 the collection of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d.
- 24 b. Causing Plaintiff’s telephone to ring repeatedly or  
 25 continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass him, in  
 violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5).

- c. Using false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e;
- d. Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2);
- e. Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- f. Collecting an amount not permitted by law, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1);
- g. Defendant violated § 1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within 5 days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of his right to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt; and
- h. By acting in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failing to comply with the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MICHAEL MCMAHON, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);

- ## DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,  
KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C..

DATED: April 2, 2010

By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel  
Craig Thor Kimmel  
Attorney ID # 57100  
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.  
30 E. Butler Pike  
Ambler, PA 19002  
Phone: (215) 540-8888  
Fax: (215) 540-8817  
Email: [kimmel@creditlegal.com](mailto:kimmel@creditlegal.com)